AGENCY ESTIMATE

OF THE FISCAL IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTING

HB 339 2011 General Session

Charter School Enrollment Amendments

Sponsor: Representative Eric K. Hutchings Lead Analyst: Ben Leishman

Agency Contact: Cathy Dudley/March 2, 2011 Title: MSP Budget and Property Tax Specialist
Agency Utah State Office of Education Office: 801-538-7667 Cell: 801-707-0848

A. Short Form (For bills that have no impact on the state, local governments, businesses, or individuals.)				
If you can check all five boxes to the right, you're almost done. If the bill obviously doesn't have an impact, you're done.	State agencies will not require an appropriation to implement the bill. There is no fiscal impact on local governments. There is no fiscal impact on businesses There is no fiscal impact on individuals. The bill will not affect revenues.			
If it isn't so obvious, explain what's going on. The most usual explanation is the codification of existing practices.	If necessary, explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.			
Attachments welcome.				
B. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact?				
Cite specific sections or line numbers.	Lines 34-37			
C. Which program gets the appropriation? Enter 3 letter Appropriation Unit Code. For multiple appropriations This is				
D. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?				

Explain the fiscal impact in plain English, detailing your assumptions, methods, & calculations.

List all direct costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what this \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

This bill allows for an an annual increase in the charter school enrollment equal to 2% of total school district enrollment as of October 1 of the prior school year.

The projected FY12 school district enrollment is \$544,798. So in FY2012-13, charter schools would be allowed to increase by 10,896 students. If the Local Replacement Funding is still in effect in FY13 and the per pupil amount stays constant at \$1,687, there would need to be an increase in that funding of approximately \$18.3 million (10,896 X \$1,687) for FY13. That amount could increase equally every year thereafter depending on the increase in the per pupil amount.

E. REVENUES Select Fund	Current Budget Year FY 2011 Fotal 0	Coming Budget Year FY 2012	Future Budget Year FY 2013	
F. COSTS by FUNI Select Fund Education Fund	Current Budget Year FY 2011 Fotal 0	Coming Budget Year FY 2012 0	Future Budget Year FY 2013 18,381,552	
Expenses by Category Personal Services Trave Current Expense DP Current Expense DP Capital Outlay Capital Outlay Other/Pass Thru	l ee yy	RY. Coming Budget Year FY 2012 0	Future Budget Year FY 2013 18,381,552 18,381,552	
H. Non-State Impacts Your estimate of how will the bill affect:				
Local Governments				
Businesses				
Individuals				
2010 Version 11.09				
This is a draft fiscal note response from	This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future. Attachments we			